



## Original Research

# Evaluation of nutrients effect on the arsenic bioaccessibility of contaminated soil

Md Hafizur Rahman<sup>1</sup>✉, Mst Sharmin Sultana<sup>2,3</sup>✉, Yanshan Cui<sup>3</sup>✉<sup>1</sup> Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, Bangladesh<sup>2</sup> Department of Soil Science, Sher-e-Bangla Agricultural University, Dhaka, Bangladesh<sup>3</sup> College of Resources and Environment, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 101408, China

✉ Authors contributed equally and both can be considered as first author



## Article info

### Article history

Received: 25 May 2024

Revised: 28 June 2024

Accepted: 20 August 2024

Published: 25 August 2024

### Keywords

Heavy metal  
Bioaccessibility  
Soil  
Nutrients  
PBET  
SHIME

## Abstract

Soil is considered the primary source of heavy metals. Even at very low concentrations, chronic exposure to harmful heavy metal (arsenic) has a significant negative impact on human health. In this study, we evaluated the effect of nutrients including glucose, proteins, calcium and control (fasted condition) on soil arsenic (As) bioaccessibility by PBET (Physiologically Based Extraction Test) technique with SHIME (simulator of the human intestinal microbial ecosystem). As bioaccessibility of the NJY soil sample was 4.43 to 8.28%, 2.56 to 8.55% and 5.66 to 23.49% in gastric phase, intestine phase and colon phase respectively with different nutrients. CFI soil sample's As bioaccessibility varied depending on the nutrients used, and was 5.78 to 23.86%, 2.32% to 12.54% and 1.06 to 13.85% in gastric phase, intestine phase and colon phase correspondingly. As bioaccessibility of the ZZH soil sample was 2.26 to 25.16%, 24.38% to 57.27% and 9.92 to 23.10% in gastric phase, intestine phase and colon phase with varying nutrients. The outcomes showed that, As bioaccessibility of the soil samples was greatly influenced with plant protein, animal protein, calcium and glucose in the three phases of the digestive system. Therefore, nutrients have a considerable effect on As bioaccessibility and assessment of human health risk.

© 2024 Rahman et al. This is an open access article distributed under the **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License** ([www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0](http://www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0)), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## 1. Introduction

Soil is thought as a main source for contaminants originated from diverse origins including trade activities, automobile discharge, waste dumping and construction activities. Maximum ecological pollution control research in the world focus on soil As because it is seriously alarming for human health and ecological security and it is considered as a geogenic and anthropogenic liberated contaminant (Shen *et al.*, 2017; Bundschuh *et al.*, 2021; Song *et al.*, 2022). According to reports, around 20 million people in China reside in regions where there is a high danger of As contamination in the soil as a result of industrial processes like plating and smelting (Fu *et al.*, 2016; Yang *et al.*, 2022; Liu *et al.*, 2022). Inhalation and ingestion of wind-blown soil dust are two ways that people can become exposed to certain heavy metals from the mining area (Loh *et al.*, 2016). A study conducted in the vicinity of an abandoned mining site revealed that over 30% of children (ages 8–13) had levels of arsenic contamination that were higher than average (Kunwittaya *et al.*, 2022). The people have been seriously concerned about arsenic's toxicity, ubiquity and existence. Exposure to low to high quantities of arsenic (10 to 300 µg L<sup>-1</sup>) from drinking water have negative consequences such as skin diseases, neurological complicacy, circulatory disorders, respiratory complexity, diabetes, malfunction of the liver and kidneys, as well as

death from chronic illnesses (Chen *et al.*, 2009). Exposure to drinking water containing As has been related to several issues in adults and children, including neurological effects, cardiovascular effects, pulmonary illnesses and skin diseases (Argos *et al.*, 2010; Chen *et al.*, 2011; Dauphiné *et al.*, 2013; Sohel *et al.*, 2010). It is well known that arsenic causes cancer and has been linked to a number of cancers, including skin, liver, lung, bladder, and prostate cancers (Zhou and Xi, 2018).

Long-term exposure to As can cause a range of malignancies and noncancerous illnesses, including internal and skin cancers, cardiovascular problems, and other ailments (Jomova *et al.*, 2011). The entire As concentration in the surrounding matrix, which includes food, water, soil and can be utilized to calculate possible health hazards (Yusa *et al.*, 2018). In general, the assessment of the risks to human health is based on the overall concentrations of pollutants found in soil. This assumes that, in the absence of site-specific data, all chemicals in soil can be 100% bioavailable and absorbed into the systemic circulation through the gastrointestinal tract. When combined with other soil constituents like organic matter and minerals, metals and metalloids, however, may have a reduced bioavailability (Park *et al.*, 2011). Therefore, only a certain percentage of metals and/or metalloids can solubilize in the human digestive system and be made available for additional absorption; which is known as bioaccessibility (Ng *et al.*, 2015). The percentage of a metal that is soluble and absorbable in the human gastrointestinal system is known as bioaccessibility. In other words, bioaccessibility evaluation tells us how much of a contaminant can enter the bloodstream and be absorbed. A 100% bioavailability assumption could lead to overestimation of dangers and higher cleanup costs at

### \*Corresponding authors

Email address: [hafizur62@gmail.com](mailto:hafizur62@gmail.com) (Md Hafizur Rahman)doi: <https://doi.org/10.69517/jber.2024.01.01.0005>

contaminated areas. For this reason As bioaccessibility evaluation is necessary.

Many scientists have evaluated the risk of ingesting polluted soil that contains heavy metals by using animal models, such as mice and young pigs (Denys et al., 2012; Juhasz et al., 2010). However, these models are costly, time-consuming and ethically problematic (Ting et al., 2015) that's why we use PBET (Physiologically Based Extraction Test) in vitro method. The colon is the terminal segment of the gastrointestinal system, which have a wide variety of microbiological species. It is claimed that the types and amounts of components that are dissolved may be significantly affected by gut bacteria entering the colon (Sun et al., 2012). The amount of dissolved and types of components that are present may be significantly affected by gut microbiota entering the colon.

Previous research verified that soil pollutants bioaccessibility depended on a number of factors, including pH, in vitro tests, and soil physicochemical parameters (Smith et al., 2014). However, under real-world circumstances, diet had an impact on the bioaccessibility of pollutants. In light of this, diet context plays a crucial role in determining bioaccessibility and the potential health risks associated with exposure to oral contaminants (Alava et al., 2013). Human diets contain diverse nutrients. The main fuel for the human body is glucose. Glucose provides the human brain with energy (Mergenthaler et al., 2012). For humans, protein is an essential nutrition. Lack of protein can result in anemia, heart failure, and hypertension (Wu, 2016). The integrity of the skeleton would be compromised by a calcium deficit (Nordberg et al., 2009). Getting enough calcium during infancy is vital since puberty is a critical period for preventing osteoporosis (Moitra et al., 2013). The aim of this experiment was to evaluate the effect of nutrients such as glucose, plant protein, animal protein and calcium on soil arsenic's bioaccessibility the Gastric, Intestine and Colon phases by the use of PBET technique with SHIME model.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1 Ethical approval

No ethical approval is required for this study.

### 2.2 As-contaminated soils and nutrients

The experiment was carried out in the College of Resources and Environment laboratory at the University of Chinese Academy of Sciences in Beijing, China. Three samples of surface (0–20 cm) soil were taken from three different province of China (Table 1). Each sample of soil was crushed, air-dried as well as sieved using a 2 mm net size to evaluate the physicochemical characteristics of the soil. Every soil sample was sieved to less than 0.25 mm before the in vitro test since human hands are more prone absorbing particles this size. All the chemical compounds used in this investigation, unless otherwise noted, were bought from the chemical company Sigma-Aldrich. The entire investigation was conducted using MilliQ water. Prior to use, all the glassware was immersed for at least 24 hours into 10% HNO<sub>3</sub> acid (v/v) and then thoroughly cleaned three times using MilliQ water. Treatments included four nutrients, animal protein (casein), plant protein (soybean), calcium (as calcium carbonate), and glucose. Beijing, China-based online retailer was the source of both plant and animal protein. Calcium had a 99.99% purity and glucose had a purity of ≥99.5%. Protein makes up 99.0% purity of plant protein powder and 96.6% of animal protein powder.

**Table 1.** Soil sampling locations.

| Soil sampling locations                       | Type / nature of collected soil |
|---|---------------------------------|
| Nujiang, Yunnan province, China (NJY)         | Mining soil                     |
| Chifeng, Inner Mongolia province, China (CFI) | Mining soil                     |
| Zhuzhou, Hunan province, China (ZZH)          | Farming soil                    |

### 2.3 Characteristics of soil

The physicochemical parameters of the soil were determined in three separate samples. After 0.5 hours of equilibration in water extract, the soil pH was estimated with a pH meter. The amount of soil organic matter (OM) was determined using acid dichromate oxidation process (Bao, 2000). A laser diffractometer was used to measure soil particles size (Blott and Pye, 2006). Ammonium oxalate (0.2 mol L<sup>-1</sup>) was used to extract the oxalate-extractable aluminum, manganese oxides and iron (Yin et al., 2014). Total As concentrations in soils were measured following Wang et al. (2018).

### 2.4 Production of colon microbiota for SHIME

The five chambers that comprise the SHIME (simulator of the human intestinal microbial ecosystem) are the small intestine, ascending colon, transverse colon, descending colon, and stomach. Typically, one volunteer's fresh fecal microorganisms were used to insert into the colon compartment (Laird et al., 2007; Sun et al., 2012). A 28-year-old Chinese male volunteer who was in good health and had not taken antibiotics during the six months before the study was conducted provided fresh fecal microorganisms to be injected into each of the three colon compartments. Colon microbial solution in the SHIME was prepared following Sultana et al. (2020).

### 2.5 Determination of bioaccessible As

In this research, we have determined soil As bioaccessibility in the gastric phase, small intestinal phase and colon phase using the PBET method with SHIME model due to use of various nutrients. The synthetic gastric solution was prepared following Sultana et al. (2020). In each 50 mL polypropylene tube, a 0.3 g soil sample and 30 ml gastric solution were added, following a 1:100 soil/solution ratio (Yin et al., 2015). Each vessel had only gastric solution for the control treatment. Gastric fluid was combined with 1.0 g of glucose, powdered plant and animal protein for the glucose, plant and animal protein treatment. For calcium treatment, 1.55 g of powdered calcium carbonate was added to the gastric solution. After that, the tubes holding the nutrients and gastric fluid were placed in a shaker setting the temperature at 37°C and 150 rpm for one hour to finish the gastric phase. After completing the gastric phase, intestinal phase and colon phase were maintained following the procedure of Yin et al. (2016 and 2015). Using a syringe, 10 mL of sample were taken after completion of each phase, and the sample was centrifuged for 20 minutes at 4000 rpm. After passing through a 0.45 μm filter, each sample was kept at a temperature of -20°C. ICP OES/ICP MS was used to determine the As concentration (diluted with 3% HNO<sub>3</sub>) three times for each treatment. Arsenic bioaccessibility was evaluated following the formula (Cui et al., 2011),

$$\text{Bioaccessibility (BA)} = \frac{C_b}{C_t} * 100$$

Where, C<sub>b</sub> indicate bioaccessible fraction of As in the gastric or intestine or colon phase, C<sub>t</sub> indicate the amount of total As in soil.

### 2.6 Statistical analysis

The mean bioaccessibility of As for different nutrients were compared with control condition using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Other statistical analyses were carried out in Microsoft Excel 2010, and DMRT (Duncan multiple range tests) were done with SPSS software (Version 23.0).

## 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1 Soil characteristics

Soil properties including total As content of 3 soils are shown in Table 2. The pH of soil samples varied from 6.70 to 7.85 which indicate that one neutral soil (pH 6.70), two slightly alkaline soil (pH 7.53, 7.85) (Cui and Chen, 2010; Yin et al., 2015). The amount of soil organic matter content ranged from 1.72 to 4.32% (w/w). The amount of clay varied from 0.77 to 14.50% (w/w). The concentration of oxalate-extractable Fe, Mn, and Al ranged from 22.30 to 29.05 gkg<sup>-1</sup>, 1.35 to 2.25 gkg<sup>-1</sup>, 9.99 to 46.30 gkg<sup>-1</sup> respectively. The total concentrations of As in soils varied from 33.90 to 110.90 mgkg<sup>-1</sup> respectively.

**Table 2.** Physicochemical characteristics of the soil samples.

| Soil properties | NJY   | CFI    | ZZH   |
|-----------------|-------|--------|-------|
| pH              | 6.70  | 7.53   | 7.85  |
| OM %            | 3.04  | 1.72   | 4.32  |
| Clay Content %  | 14.50 | 0.77   | 6.10  |
| Fe g/kg         | 29.05 | 25.79  | 22.30 |
| Mn g/kg         | 1.35  | 2.25   | 1.46  |
| Al g/kg         | 9.99  | 18.40  | 46.30 |
| As mg/kg        | 71.24 | 110.90 | 33.90 |

NJY= Nujian, Yunnan; CFI=Chifeng, Inner Mongolia; ZZH=Zhuzhou, Hunan

### 3.2 Arsenic bioaccessibility of NJY soil in the gastrointestinal phases

The bioaccessibility data of As from the NJY soil samples showed the significant variation due to various nutrients (Table 3). Arsenic bioaccessibility of the NJY soil sample were 4.43–8.28% in the gastric phase with different nutrients. The highest 8.28 % As bioaccessibility were observed for plant protein and the lowest 4.34 % As bioaccessibility were found under fasted condition in the gastric phase. Arsenic bioaccessibility of the NJY soil sample were 2.56 - 8.55% in the in small intestine phase for nutrients. The highest 8.55% As bioaccessibility were observed for plant protein and the lowest 2.56 % As bioaccessibility were found for calcium in the small intestine phase. Arsenic bioaccessibility of the NJY soil sample were 5.66 - 23.49% in the colon phase due to different nutrients. The highest 23.49 % As bioaccessibility were estimated for animal protein and the lowest 5.66% As bioaccessibility were found under fasted condition in the colon phase. Short-term changes to protein consumption can affect the intestinal microbiome (David et al., 2014), which could accelerate As's breakdown in soil. Few researchers have been noticed higher soil As bioaccessibility in colon phase which is similar with our results (Laird et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2018).

**Table 3.** Arsenic bioaccessibility of NJY soil in the gastrointestinal phases.

| Treatment      | Gastric phase          | Intestine phase        | Colon phase             |
|----------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Control        | 4.34±0.51 <sup>b</sup> | 8.41±0.47 <sup>a</sup> | 5.66±0.74 <sup>d</sup>  |
| Glucose        | 4.41±0.10 <sup>b</sup> | 4.11±0.33 <sup>b</sup> | 16.49±0.76 <sup>b</sup> |
| Plant protein  | 8.28±0.85 <sup>a</sup> | 8.55±0.86 <sup>a</sup> | 7.06±1.38 <sup>d</sup>  |
| Animal protein | 5.73±1.07 <sup>b</sup> | 8.29±1.32 <sup>a</sup> | 23.49±1.20 <sup>a</sup> |
| Calcium        | 5.00±0.67 <sup>b</sup> | 2.56±0.44 <sup>b</sup> | 9.89±0.54 <sup>c</sup>  |

Values= Mean±SD; SD stands for standard deviation; statistically significant differences with varying treatments are shown by various letters ( $P<0.05$ ).

### 3.3 Arsenic bioaccessibility of CFI soil in the three phases

As bioaccessibility data from the CFI soil samples demonstrated the significant variation for various nutrients (Table 4). In the gastric phase, the CFI soil sample's arsenic bioaccessibility ranged from 5.78 to 23.86% depending on the nutrients. Fasted condition (control) had the highest As bioaccessibility 23.86% and the lowest 5.78 % As bioaccessibility were estimated for calcium in the gastric phase. The CFI soil sample's arsenic bioaccessibility ranged from 2.32% to 12.54% in the small intestine phase for nutrients. The maximum 12.54% As bioaccessibility were observed for plant protein and the minimum 2.32 % As bioaccessibility were found for calcium in the intestine phase. The arsenic bioaccessibility of CFI soil sample ranged from 1.06 - 13.85% in colon phase due to different nutrients. The highest 13.85 % As bioaccessibility were estimated for glucose and the lowest 1.06% As bioaccessibility were found in the colon phase due to use of plant protein. When glucose was dissolved in organic carbon (DOC), it enhanced the amount of As released from the soil (Mohapatra et al., 2007). Arsenic is not confined to the hydrophobic micelles of carbohydrates, as demonstrated by Moreda et al. (2012). As a result, in the liquid phase, As is more bioavailable than free As. Similar results have been reported for soil Cd bioaccessibility the three phases with nutrients (Sultana et al., 2020).

### 3.4. Arsenic bioaccessibility of ZZH soil in the three phases

The As bioaccessibility results from the ZZH soil samples showed a notable difference for different nutrients (Table 5). In the gastric phase, the ZZH soil sample's arsenic bioaccessibility ranged from 2.26 to 25.16% depending on the nutrients. Glucose showed the highest levels of As bioaccessibility, 25.16% and the lowest 2.26 % As bioaccessibility in the gastric phase was discovered while fasted condition. In the small intestine phase for nutrients, the ZZH soil sample's arsenic bioaccessibility ranged from 24.38% to 57.27%. The highest 57.27% As bioaccessibility were estimated for animal protein and the lowest 24.38 % As bioaccessibility were found for calcium in the small intestine phase. Arsenic bioaccessibility of the ZZH soil sample were 9.92 - 23.10% in the colon phase due to different nutrients. The highest 23.10% As bioaccessibility were found for calcium and the lowest 9.92% As bioaccessibility were estimated under fasted condition in the colon phase. Protein thiol groups have the ability to bind arsenic, which could impact the metal's bioaccessibility (Narukawa and Chiba, 2010). According to Laird et al. (2009), in the simulated gastric and intestine fluid, the As bioaccessibility increased by carbohydrate combinations increased and in the small intestine digest, a combination of carbohydrates facilitated the adsorption of phosphate. Similar outcomes have been noticed for the bioaccessibility of As, Cu and Cd in the three phases with nutrients (Sultana et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2018).

**Table 4.** Arsenic bioaccessibility of CFI soil in the gastrointestinal phases.

| Treatment      | Gastric phase           | Intestine phase         | Colon phase             |
|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Control        | 23.86±0.08 <sup>a</sup> | 5.26 ±0.05 <sup>c</sup> | 9.70±1.43 <sup>b</sup>  |
| Glucose        | 8.46±0.21 <sup>c</sup>  | 8.41±1.05 <sup>b</sup>  | 13.85±0.67 <sup>a</sup> |
| Plant protein  | 7.21±0.57 <sup>c</sup>  | 12.54±0.54 <sup>a</sup> | 1.06±0.11 <sup>d</sup>  |
| Animal protein | 17.81±0.80 <sup>b</sup> | 5.45±0.28 <sup>c</sup>  | 4.11±0.76 <sup>c</sup>  |
| Calcium        | 5.78±0.87 <sup>d</sup>  | 2.32±0.04 <sup>d</sup>  | 14.48±0.68 <sup>a</sup> |

Values= Mean±SD; SD stands for standard deviation; statistically significant differences with varying treatments are shown by various letters ( $P<0.05$ ).

**Table 5.** Arsenic bioaccessibility of ZZH soil in the gastrointestinal phases.

| Treatment      | Gastric phase           | Intestine phase          | Colon phase              |
|----------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Control        | 2.26±0.32 <sup>d</sup>  | 25.14±2.14 <sup>c</sup>  | 9.92±0.72 <sup>c</sup>   |
| Glucose        | 25.16±0.51 <sup>a</sup> | 53.51±3.30 <sup>ab</sup> | 15.30±0.94 <sup>bc</sup> |
| Plant protein  | 4.32±0.11 <sup>d</sup>  | 49.00±1.04 <sup>b</sup>  | 14.58±2.51 <sup>bc</sup> |
| Animal protein | 10.87±1.94 <sup>c</sup> | 57.27±3.16 <sup>a</sup>  | 15.36±2.08 <sup>b</sup>  |
| Calcium        | 14.28±1.98 <sup>b</sup> | 24.38±2.23 <sup>c</sup>  | 23.10±4.16 <sup>a</sup>  |

Values= Mean±SD; SD stands for standard deviation; statistically significant differences with varying treatments are shown by various letters ( $P<0.05$ ).

## 4. Conclusions

Arsenic bioaccessibility of Arsenic-contaminated soils affected by different nutrients such as plant protein, animal protein, glucose and calcium during gastrointestinal assimilation of arsenic. Plant protein increased As bioaccessibility in gastric and intestine phase of NJY soil while animal protein enhanced As bioaccessibility in colon phase. As bioaccessibility also significantly enhanced by plant protein in intestine phase and calcium increased the bioaccessibility of As in colon phase of CFI soil. As bioaccessibility of HZZ soil significantly enhanced in gastric phase by glucose, increased in the intestine phase by animal protein and significantly enhanced in the colon phase by calcium. According to the present study, consideration should be given to the impact of nutrients on As's bioaccessibility when evaluating the health risks associated with soil As.

## Acknowledgments

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21637002) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 41271493).

## Data availability

The data generated from this study might be available on the valid request from the corresponding author.

## Informed consent statement

No informed consent was required to conduct the study.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## Authors' contribution

**Conceptualization:** Md Hafizur Rahman, Mst Sharmin Sultana and Yanshan Cui; **Data collection and methodology preparation:** Md Hafizur Rahman and Mst Sharmin Sultana; **Data analysis:** Md Hafizur Rahman and Mst Sharmin Sultana; **Conducted data analysis and interpretation of results:** Md Hafizur Rahman and Mst Sharmin Sultana; **Reviewed it and revised the final version:** Md Hafizur Rahman, Mst Sharmin Sultana and Yanshan Cui. Md Hafizur Rahman and Mst Sharmin have worked together and contributed equally. So we decided to make both of them as first author. All of the enlisted authors have read and approved the final version of the published article.

## References

- Alava P, Du Laing G, Odhiambo M, Verliefe A, Tack F and Van de Wiele TR, 2013. Arsenic bioaccessibility upon gastrointestinal digestion is highly determined by its speciation and lipid-bile salt interactions. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part A*, 48 (6): 656-665. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10934529.2013.732367>
- Argos M, Kalra T, Rathouz PJ, Chen Y, Pierce B, Parvez F, Islam T, Ahmed A, Rakibuz-Zaman M, Hasan R and Sarwar G, 2010. Arsenic exposure from drinking water, and all-cause and chronic-disease mortalities in Bangladesh (HEALS): A prospective cohort study. *The Lancet*, 376: 252-258. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(10\)60481-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60481-3)
- Bao SD, 2000. Soil agricultural chemical analysis (pp. 265-267). China Agricultural Press, Beijing.
- Blott SJ and Pye K, 2006. Particle size distribution analysis of sand-sized particles by laser diffraction: an experimental investigation of instrument sensitivity and the effects of particle shape. *Sedimentology*, 53: 671-685. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3091.2006.00786.x>
- Bundschuh J, Schneider J, Alam MA, Niazi NK, Herath I, Parvez F, Tomaszewska B, Guilherme LR, Maity JP, López DL and Cirelli AF, 2021. Seven potential sources of arsenic pollution in Latin America and their environmental and health impacts. *Science of the Total Environment*, 780: 146274. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146274>
- Chen Y, Graziano JH, Parvez F, Liu M, Slavkovich V, Kalra T, Argos M, Islam T, Ahmed A, Rakibuz-Zaman M and Hasan R, 2011. Arsenic exposure from drinking water and mortality from cardiovascular disease in Bangladesh: prospective cohort study. *BMJ*, 342: d2431. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.d2431>
- Chen Y, Parvez F, Gamble M, Islam T, Ahmed A, Argos M, Graziano JH and Ahsan H, 2009. Arsenic exposure at low-to-moderate levels and skin lesions, arsenic metabolism, neurological functions, and biomarkers for respiratory and cardiovascular diseases: review of recent findings from the Health Effects of Arsenic Longitudinal Study (HEALS) in Bangladesh. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, 239 (2): 184-192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2009.01.010>
- Cui Y and Chen X, 2010. Lead (Pb) and arsenic (As) bioaccessibility in various soils from south China. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 177: 481- 492. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-010-1649-3>
- Dauphiné DC, Smith AH, Yuan Y, Balmes JR, Bates MN and Steinmaus C, 2013. Case- Control study of arsenic in drinking water and lung cancer in California and Nevada. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 10(8): 3310-3324. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph10083310>
- David LA, Maurice CF, Carmody RN, Gootenberg DB, Button JE, Wolfe BE, Ling AV, Devlin AS, Varma Y, Fischbach MA and Biddinger SB, 2014. Diet rapidly and reproducibly alters the human gut microbiome. *Nature*, 505: 559-563. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12820>
- Denys S, Caboche J, Tack K, Rychen G, Wragg J, Cave M, Jondreville C and Feidt C, 2012. In vivo validation of the unified BARGE method to assess the bioaccessibility of arsenic, antimony, cadmium, and lead in soils. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 46 (11): 6252-6260. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es3006942>
- Fu Z, Wu F, Mo C, Deng Q, Meng W and Giesy JP, 2016. Comparison of arsenic and antimony biogeochemical behavior in water, soil and tailings from Xikuangshan, China. *Science of The Total Environment*, 539: 97-104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2015.08.146>
- Jomova K, Jenisova Z, Feszterova M, Baros S, Liska J, Hudcova D, Rhodes CJ and Valko M, 2011. Arsenic: toxicity, oxidative stress and human disease. *Journal of Applied Toxicology*, 31 (2): 95-107. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jat.1649>
- Juhasz AL, Weber J, Naidu R, Gancarz D, Rofe A, Todor D and Smith E, 2010. Determination of cadmium relative bioavailability in contaminated soils and its prediction using in vitro methodologies. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 44 (13): 5240-5247. <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/es1006516>
- Kunwittaya S, Ruksee N, Khamnong T, Jiawiwatkul A, Kleebpung N, Chumchua V, Plitponkarnpim A, Nopparat C and Permpoonputtana K, 2022. Inorganic arsenic contamination and the health of children living near an inactive mining site: northern Thailand. *EXCLI Journal*, 21: 1007-1014. <https://doi.org/10.17179/excli2022-4922>
- Laird BD, Van de Wiele TR, Corriveau MC, Jamieson HE, Parsons MB, Verstraete W and Siciliano SD, 2007. Gastrointestinal Microbes Increase Arsenic bioaccessibility of ingested mine tailings using the simulator of the human intestinal microbial ecosystem. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 41 (15): 5542-5547. <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/es062410e>
- Laird BD, Yeung J, Peak D and Siciliano SD, 2009. Nutritional status and gastrointestinal microbes affect arsenic bioaccessibility from soils and mine tailings in the simulator of the human intestinal microbial ecosystem. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 43 (22): 8652-8657. <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/es900837y>
- Liu W, Hu T, Mao Y, Shi M, Cheng C, Zhang J, Qi S, Chen W and Xing X, 2022. The mechanistic investigation of geochemical fractionation, bioavailability and release kinetic of heavy metals in contaminated soil of a typical copper-smelter. *Environmental Pollution*, 306: 119391. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119391>
- Loh MM, Sugeng A, Lothrop N, Klimecki W, Cox M, Wilkinson ST, Lu Z and Beamer PI, 2016. Multimedia exposures to arsenic and lead for children near an inactive mine tailings and smelter site. *Environmental Research*, 146:331-339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2015.12.011>
- Mandal BK, Ogra Y and Suzuki KT, 2001. Identification of dimethylarsinous and monomethylarsonous acids in human urine of the arsenic-affected areas in West Bengal, India. *Chemical Research in Toxicology*, 14 (4): 371-378. <https://doi.org/10.1021/tx000246h>
- Mergenthaler P, Lindauer U, Diemel GA and Meisel A, 2013. Sugar for the brain: the role of glucose in physiological and pathological brain function. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 36 (10): 587-597. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2013.07.001>

- Mohapatra D, Mishra D, Rout M and Chaudhury G, 2007. Adsorption kinetics of natural dissolved organic matter and its impact on arsenic (V) leachability from arsenic-loaded ferrihydrite and Al-ferrihydrite. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health Part A*, 42: 81-88. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10934520601015792>
- Moitra S, Blanc PD and Sahu S, 2013. Adverse respiratory effects associated with cadmium exposure in small-scale jewellery workshops in India. *Thorax*, 68 (6): 565-570. <https://thorax.bmj.com/content/68/6/565.short>
- Moreda PJ, Alonso RE, Romaris HV, Moreda PA, Lopez MP, Muniategui LS, Prada RD and Bermejo BP, 2012. Assessment of the bioavailability of toxic and non-toxic arsenic species in seafood samples. *Food Chemistry*, 130 (3): 552-60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2011.07.071>
- Narukawa T and Chiba K, 2010. Heat-assisted aqueous extraction of rice flour for arsenic speciation analysis. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 58 (14): 8183-8188. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf101317n>
- Ng JC, Juhasz A, Smith E and Naidu R, 2015. Assessing the bioavailability and bioaccessibility of metals and metalloids. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 22: 8802-8825. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-013-1820-9>
- Nordberg GF, Jin T, Wu X, Lu J, Chen L, Lei L, Hong F and Nordberg M, 2009. Prevalence of kidney dysfunction in humans – Relationship to cadmium dose, metallothionein, immunological and metabolic factors. *Biochimie*, 91 (10): 1282-1285. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biochi.2009.06.014>
- Park JH, Lamb D, Paneerselvam P, Choppala G, Bolan N and Chung JW, 2011. Role of organic amendments on enhanced bioremediation of heavy metal (loid) contaminated soils. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 185 (2-3): 549-574. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.09.082>
- Shen F, Liao R, Ali A, Mahar A, Guo D, Li R, Xining S, Awasthi MK, Wang Q and Zhang Z, 2017. Spatial distribution and risk assessment of heavy metals in soil near a Pb/Zn smelter in Feng County, China. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 139: 254-262. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2017.01.044>
- Smith E, Scheckel K, Miller BW, Weber J and Juhasz AL, 2014. Influence of in vitro assay pH and extractant composition on As bioaccessibility in contaminated soils. *Science of The Total Environment*, 473-474: 171-177. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.12.030>
- Sohel N, Vahter M, Ali M, Rahman M, Rahman A, Streatfield PK, Kanaroglou PS and Persson LA, 2010. Spatial patterns of fetal loss and infant death in an arsenic-affected area in Bangladesh. *International Journal of Health Geographics*, 9 (53): 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-072X-9-53>
- Song P, Xu H, Sun S, Xiong W and Yang Z, 2022. Remediation of arsenic-spiked soil by biochar-loaded nanoscale zero-valent iron: Performance, mechanism, and microbial response. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 380: 134985. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2022.134985>
- Sultana MS, Wang P, Yin N, Rahman MH, Du H, Cai X, Fu Y and Cui Y, 2020. Assessment of nutrients effect on the bioaccessibility of Cd and Cu in contaminated soil. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 202: 110913. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2020.110913>
- Sun GX, Van de Wiele T, Alava P, Tack F and Du Laing G, 2012. Arsenic in cooked rice: Effect of chemical, enzymatic and microbial processes on bioaccessibility and speciation in the human gastrointestinal tract. *Environmental Pollution*, 162: 241-246. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2011.11.021>
- Ting Y, Zhao Q, Xia C and Huang Q, 2015. Using in vitro and in vivo models to evaluate the oral bioavailability of nutraceuticals. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 63 (5): 1332-1338. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf5047464>
- Wang P, Yin N, Cai X, Du H, Li Z, Sun G and Cui Y, 2018. Nutritional status affects the bioaccessibility and speciation of arsenic from soils in a simulator of the human intestinal microbial ecosystem. *Science of The Total Environment*, 644: 815-821. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.003>
- Wu G, 2016. Dietary protein intake and human health. *Food and Function*, 7 (3): 1251-1265. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C5FO01530H>
- Yang T, Tang G, Li L, Ma L, Zhao Y and Guo Z, 2022. Interactions between bacteria and eukaryotic microorganisms and their response to soil properties and heavy metal exchangeability nearby a coal-fired power plant. *Chemosphere*, 302: 134829. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.134829>
- Yin N, Cui Y, Zhang Z, Wang Z, Cai X and Wang J, 2014. Bioaccessibility and dynamic dissolution of arsenic in contaminated soils from Hunan, China. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 15: 584-593. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-014-1022-1>
- Yin N, Zhang Z, Cai X, Du H, Sun G and Cui Y, 2015. In vitro method to assess soil arsenic metabolism by human gut microbiota: arsenic speciation and distribution. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 49 (17): 10675-10681. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b03046>
- Yin N, Du H, Zhang Z, Cai X, Li Z, Sun G and Cui Y, 2016. Variability of arsenic bioaccessibility and metabolism in soils by human gut microbiota using different in vitro methods combined with SHIME. *Science of The Total Environment*, 566-567: 1670-1677. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.06.071>
- Yusa V, Perez R, Sanchez A, Pardo O and Roca M, 2018. Exposure and risk assessment to arsenic species in Spanish children using biomonitoring. *Science of The Total Environment*, 628-629: 302-309. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.01.330>
- Zhou Q and Xi S, 2018. A review on arsenic carcinogenesis: epidemiology, metabolism, genotoxicity and epigenetic changes. *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 99:78-88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yrtph.2018.09.010>

**Publisher's note**

Genesis Publishing Consortium Limited pledges to maintain a neutral stance on jurisdictional claims shown in published maps and institutional affiliations.